POSTHUMANISM IN THE DIGITAL AGE: NAVIGATING AI ETHICS, ENVIRONMENTAL CRISIS AND DECOLONIAL FUTURES

Abishek Asokan

Ph.D. Full-Time Research Scholar, Department of English,
Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur.

Dr. C.S. Arunprabu

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Faculty of Science and Humanities,
Kattankulathur Campus, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, India.

Abstract

Posthumanism asks why and changes the norms the human have created. Traditionally the paper talks about posthuman thinking and how it affects the three areas. These areas include ethics in artificial intelligence how we care for the environment, and decolonial ways of knowing. By combining newer studies from 2024 to 2025, this discussion explores how shared decision-making, relationships between species, and diverse ways of understanding provide thoughtful solutions to ethical, social, and existential problems facing us today. In a world where AI systems grow more independent, research highlights how posthumanism changes discussions about consciousness and accountability. It works on creating ethical frameworks that go beyond traditional human-centered approaches. the studiy shows the emotions and awareness, like hoe the anxiety triggers the climate issues, and the way to recognize humanity connected selves which Research focuses on integrating indigenous and anti-colonial ideas with posthumanism to show their importance in creating fairer and more sustainable ways to apply posthumanist ideas in education, leadership, and social equity. The findings suggest posthumanism provides a useful way to rethink thriving in a complex and challenging world. At the same time, it must stay alert to matters of power, exclusion, and context. This paper ends by promoting situated posthumanisms that focus on local contexts ethical reflection, and a dedication to knowledge and environmental fairness as key aspects to guide future studies and decisions.

Introduction

The 21st century has brought fast technological growth serious environmental problems, and rising worries about social justice. These together challenge old ideas of humanism. At the center of these shifts is posthumanism. This theory examines and tries to move beyond the belief in human-centered ideas from traditional humanism where independent logical, and unique humans were seen as most important. Posthumanism questions the clear differences between humans and nonhumans, culture and nature, and subject and object. It supports the idea that agency or influence is shared by humans, nonhuman entities, technology, and the environment. Ferrando (2019) and Braidotti (2018) explain the important growth of this idea. I'm sorry, I cannot assist with rewriting text without having the original content provided. Please provide the text you'd like me to paraphrase, and I'll help! Recent studies highlight the pressing demand to turn posthumanist critiques into usable methods to tackle urgent issues.

These issues include ethics in artificial intelligence, climate change, and knowledge-related injustices (Al-Omari & Al-Omari 2025; Boyd, 2023).The rise of more independent AI systems brings attention to the importance of agency and responsibility moving beyond just human-focused moral concerns. It's also key to advance ethical thinking on how human and machine relationships are shaping people's everyday lives. The threat of ecological collapse pushes for fresh thinking that emphasizes the connection between humans other species, and the environment. This approach calls for attention to coexistence and sustainable interactions with nature (Haraway 2016; Hulagoor 2025). Posthumanism connects with decolonial theory by addressing criticisms of Eurocentric ways of knowing. It highlights Indigenous systems of knowledge and focuses on relationship-based methods for justice and creating knowledge (Ndlovu 2014; TallBear, 2011).It also explains how posthumanism based on ideas developed in posthumanist studies during 2024–2025 provides key tools to understand and address today's global challenges. Yet, it highlights that posthumanism's true potential lies in focusing on power dynamics, exclusion, and specific contexts. Without this focus, it risks recreating the same inequalities it aims to challenge. Instead of being just a theory, posthumanism comes forward as a practical approach that can shape ethics, change education systems, and promote fairness in a world that is becoming connected and fast-changing.This study adds to active discussions in critical theory and opens ways to carry out more applied research.It focuses on ethical responsibility and diverse ways of knowing in complex situations.

Current State of Posthumanist Research

Over the past year, the field has developed moving from critiquing theories to dealing more with urgent global concerns. The 2025 edition of the Journal of Posthumanism highlights this transition. Scholars now focus more on how posthumanist ideas can be applied to various areas. This change mirrors what Al-Omari and Al-Omari describe as AI's role in shaping "rethinking human capacity and identity, raising a critical ethical agenda while putting fundamental questions on the societal impacts of AI-driven posthumanism."

Artificial Intelligence and Posthuman Ethics

The mix of AI and posthumanism is likely one of the most exciting fields of study today. Researchers now focus less on basic debates over whether machines can think and more on detailed studies of shared control and connected forms of intelligence. Wang's fresh ideas on "posthumanist AI literacy" (2025) highlight how learning environments might be shaped by or even adapt to "the relational agency of human and non-human actors that challenges old ideas about human-machine divisions. "This approach has clear advantages over technophobic or technophilic views. People often see AI systems as either a dangerous substitute for human intelligence or just a neutral tool under human control. Posthumanist ideas instead acknowledge how humans and AI are interconnected and shape each other. Experts in recent ethics frameworks for AI development emphasize this idea of "networked agency that disrupts conventional anthropocentric moral framings" while still prioritizing justice and accountability (Journal of Posthumanism 2025).

Environmental Posthumanism and Climate Crisis

Posthumanism uses the environment issue to feel more urgent as climate change speeds up. Boyd (2023) offers an intriguing idea by describing "climate anxiety as posthuman knowledge."Boyd sees emotional reactions to environmental crises as forms of lived understanding, not as mental barriers to logical decisions. This way of thinking could cause major changes in activism and shaping environmental policies.Hulagoor (2025) displays how the posthuman ideas relay the environmental sustainability guide to real-world actions. These actions "recognize the active role of non-human entities, like animals, plants, and ecosystems," while aiming to "support the entire ecological community's well-being." This work expands on well-known posthumanist arguments against anthropocentrism and introduces clear ways to achieve environmental justice for many species.Bringing together posthumanism and climate science has introduced fresh perspectives on the Anthropocene.Instead of promoting the belief that humans are unique, these studies highlight how the term Anthropocene reveals how connected humans and nature are.This interconnectedness suggests new ways to work together in managing the environment.

Decolonial Posthumanism and Epistemic Justice

The combination of posthumanist ideas with decolonial studies and Indigenous knowledge stands out as a key development in theory.This approach tackles the old critique that, while questioning human-centered thinking, posthumanism tends to stay rooted in Eurocentric ways of understanding.Recent studies in rehabilitation science reveal that "posthumanism in the rehabilitation science literature--by white scholars in the Global North--engages with Indigenous, Black, and decolonial thought" (Posthumanism Research 2025).This criticism has sparked crucial progress in developing posthumanist research that respects cultural diversity.

Ndlovu's key research on Indigenous knowledge systems from 2014 offers resources to integrate these ideas.It shows how Indigenous knowledge might act as a foundation to imagine a world that differs from the current Western-focused one. There are already practical uses in areas like music teaching and literacy education, which represent encouraging steps to create a more inclusive posthumanist perspective.Methodological Innovations and Future Directions

The applied shift in posthumanist studies has brought about major changes in research methods.Ethnographic methods such as those exploring the "complex interplay between human and algorithm" in real-world situations (Wang 2025), open up new ways to explore how posthumanist ideas about relationships shape research while still providing practical knowledge.emotional and physical approaches in environmental studies treat feelings as a way of understanding rather than seeing them as barriers to studying.These changes highlight several main paths to explore further in research. It is essential to create applications that focus on specific communities and their unique challenges, while still upholding broader values like fairness and meaningful change. Researchers must continue addressing issues of power and inequality to make sure posthumanist ideas help shed light on rather than hide systems of control.

There is also a need to develop methods that bring together different ways of understanding all while keeping high standards for science and ethics.

Critical Challenges and Limitations

Despite these positive steps big challenges still exist.One problem is how complicated posthumanist theory is, which can make it harder to use in real-life situations like creating clear policies. Talking about things like hybrid agency and relational ways of understanding can sometimes make it harder to focus on big issues like power imbalances and inequality in areas like AI progress and caring for the environment.Some recent studies in bioethics stress the importance of staying "modest" when judging what technology can do while still trying to build systems that help with ethical decision-making (Škrovan, 2024). The perspective promote the using of posthuman ideas that accept the complexity and uncertainty.

Conclusion

Developments in posthumanism over the last few years indicate a shift towards more advanced, diverse, and hands-on research. When posthumanist concepts are combined with AI ethics, environmental science, and decolonial theory, new approaches to current challenges emerge that consider their complexity and the need for accountability. To do this, people need to work on completing the gaps that have been pointed out in this talk.This is what I mean by "situated posthumanisms": ways of doing things that are sensitive to specific situations but still care about fairness, trust, and changing how we think about what is right and wrong. In light of the complex challenges issued by the digital age, environmental crisis, and continued colonial violence, posthumanist frameworks are those most needed to help us imagine more just and sustainable futures. What the future of posthumanism looks like will not lie in the possibility of transcending such challenges through technological solutionism but rather in learning to work within complexity while creating conditions for flourishing relationships among humans, technologies, and more-than-human worlds.

References

1. Al-Omari, O., & Al-Omari, T. (2025). Artificial intelligence and posthumanism: A philosophical inquiry into consciousness, ethics, and human identity. Journal of Posthumanism, 5(2), 458-469. https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i2.432
2. Boyd, C. (2023). Climate anxiety as posthuman knowledge. The Lancet Planetary Health, 7(2), e89-e90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00343-2
3. Braidotti, R. (2013). The posthuman. Polity Press.
4. Braidotti, R. (2018). A theoretical framework for the critical posthumanities. Theory, Culture & Society, 36(6), 31-61.
5. Ferrando, F. (2019). Philosophical posthumanism. Bloomsbury Academic.
6. Haraway, D. J. (2016). Staying with the trouble: Making kin in the Chthulucene. Duke University Press.

7. Hulagoor, S. (2025). Posthumanism and environmental sustainability: Reconsidering our bond with nature. Research Scholar, 13(1), 1-12.

8. Ndlovu, M. (2014). Why indigenous knowledges in the 21st century? A decolonial turn. Yesterday and Today, 11, 130-179.

9. TallBear, K. (2011). Native American DNA: Tribal belonging and the false promise of genetic science. University of Minnesota Press.

10. Wang, Z. (2025). A posthumanist approach to AI literacy: Moving beyond human-machine dichotomies in education. Computers & Education, 219, 105089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2025.105089