

TECHNOCULTURE AND POSTHUMANISM IN IRON MAN'S CROSS-MEDIA NARRATIVES

Sundharaperumal M

*Ph.D. Research Scholar (Full-Time), PG & Research Department of English,
National College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu.*

Dr. V. Srividhya

*Research Supervisor, Associate Professor in English,
National College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu.*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.34293/shanlax.9789361632587.ch040>

Author Note

¹Sundharaperumal M is a Ph.D. Research Scholar in the PG & Research Department of English, National College (Autonomous), affiliated with Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India. His research interests include Superhero Narratives, Transmedia Studies, Technoculture, Posthumanism, and Digital Humanities. His current doctoral work investigates the intersections of literature, media, and technology, with a particular emphasis on how posthumanist theory and technocultural perspectives illuminate the evolving significance of superheroes in contemporary culture. E-Mail id: sundharaperumalphd@gmail.com

²Dr. V. Srividhya is an Associate Professor and Research Supervisor in the PG & Research Department of English, National College (Autonomous), affiliated with Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India. Her academic interests span Literary Theory, Cultural Studies, Postcolonial Literature, Posthumanism, and Digital Humanities. She has supervised research scholars in diverse areas of English Studies and has published widely in reputed national and international journals. With extensive experience in teaching and research, she actively contributes to curriculum design and interdisciplinary studies. Her scholarship emphasizes critical engagement with literature, theory, and contemporary cultural issues, fostering innovative approaches to literary and cultural inquiry. Email id: srisekar2005@gmail.com

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Mr. Sundharaperumal M, Research Scholar, C/O, Dr. V. Srividhya, PG & Research Department of English, National College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli- 620 001.

E-mail id: sundharaperumalphd@gmail.com Contact: 6383042890

Abstract

The study of superheroes has increasingly become a significant field within literary and cultural studies, offering insights into how popular narratives engage with shifting cultural, political, and technological landscapes. Among these figures, Iron Man occupies a unique position as a character who explicitly dramatizes the fusion of human ingenuity with advanced technology.

Since his debut in Marvel Comics in 1963, Iron Man has moved beyond his comic book origins to become a central figure in the global imagination through cinema, television, and digital platforms. His cross-media trajectory exemplifies the dynamics of transmedia storytelling while foregrounding debates about technology, identity, and the limits of the human. This paper analyzes Iron Man's evolution through the combined perspectives of technoculture theory and posthumanist critique. From the Cold War-inflected anxieties reflected in early comics to the technologically saturated spectacle of the Marvel Cinematic Universe, Iron Man serves as a cultural laboratory for negotiating both the promises and the perils of technological modernity. The armored body functions not only as a protective suit but as a narrative medium that dramatizes themes of innovation, dependence, vulnerability, and transformation in an age of accelerating digital change. Adopting a comparative transmedia approach, the study highlights how Iron Man's storyworld destabilizes traditional binaries like human/machine, self/other, technology/culture while reflecting narrative shifts across media. Drawing on the posthumanist perspectives of N. Katherine Hayles, Donna Haraway, and Rosi Braidotti, the analysis situates Iron Man as a hybrid subject who embodies the complexities of posthuman existence. Ultimately, the research argues that Iron Man's cross-media narratives demonstrate how popular culture not only reflects but also shapes contemporary understandings of humanity, technology, and identity in a posthuman age.

Keywords: Iron Man, Cross-media narratives, Technoculture, Posthumanism, Superhero studies

Introduction

Superheroes have grown to be important areas of academic interest in cultural and literary studies. They are products not only of the entertainment industries, but they also serve as cultural symbols by which the societies debate such urgent issues as morality, identity, and technology, as well as power. The superhero is created in every historical moment and the anxieties or ambitions of a certain epoch are encoded in these stories. Iron Man has a very unusual place in this vast group of characters. Iron Man began as a Cold War creation in 1963, the creation of Stan Lee and Larry Lieber, Don Heck, and Jack Kirby, and its story was a product of American technological-nationalism and the military-industrial complex. However, with time, the alter ego of Tony Stark has not limited itself to the story of comic books, but has evolved to be one of the most familiar characters in modern culture. Reinventing himself with the help of the Marvel Cinematic Universe (MCU) has not only turned him into a global symbol, but also placed him at the center of discussion on technology and cultural identity. The fact that Iron Man exists in comic, film, television, and digital platforms makes him a model example of transmedia storytelling.

The fact that the Iron Man story is being developed in different mediums leaves some critical questions regarding the development and the process of acquiring a new cultural meaning of the story. According to Jenkins (2006), transmedia storytelling refers to the methodical spreading out of the elements of the story to different media formats in a manner that adds to the comprehension of the fictional world by the audience. This dynamic is clearly illustrated by the journey of Iron Man. His Cold War industrialist identity has been restructured in the twenty-first century to be that of a technological innovator, savior, indicating the way in which superheroes change their symbolic meanings to maintain relevance in changing historical and cultural situations.

Technology comes at the centre of the Iron Man narrative. The armored suit is not just a working costume, but a artificial part of the body of Stark, which dramatizes the confusion of human weakness with technological enhancement. Such an amalgamation makes Iron Man an interesting place where the technoculture theory can be applied, as it studies mutual interdependence between cultural discourses and technological innovation. According to Cooper (2003), technoculture does not merely signify the domination of technology but exposes the values of technological change as a cultural imagination, contest or legitimization. In this way, Iron Man is a metaphor of the processes of technocultural change, in general.

At the same time, Iron Man provokes the participation in the posthumanist critique that contest humanist beliefs concerning the subjectivity and agency due to technological, ecological, and philosophical changes. Posthumanist authors like Hayles (1999), Haraway (1991), and Braidotti (2013) focus on the unsteadiness of the border between the human and the nonhuman, be it machinic, animal, or ecological. These concerns are demonstrated by the way Stark lives to survive on his suit, his relationships with artificial intelligent beings like J.A.R.V.I.S. and Ultron, and his final sacrifice in *Avengers: Endgame* (Russo & Russo, 2019). Iron man is one of the characters who is in a way empowered and threatened by technology and is an expression of the paradoxes of posthuman life.

This paper is aimed at discussing Iron Man as a transmedia literary and cultural character because his development helps to point out cross-relations existing between technoculture and posthumanism. In following his evolution as comic book character to movie star, the paper aims at demonstrating how these narratives reflect and constrain the modern cultural concepts of technology and identity. It poses: How has Iron Man been re-created in various forms in other media, and what cultural purposes do these re-creations serve? What can the technoculture and posthumanist theories do to make us interpret Iron Man as an intermediate figure that sums up not just the promises but also the threats of technological modernity?

To answer these questions, the work puts Iron Man in the context of larger discussions of the cultural work of superheroes. Superhero stories serve as experimental arenas, in which the notions of vulnerability, power, and change are envisaged and challenged. Particularly Iron Man as a technological phenomenon and posthuman subject, provides a fruitful point of entry into the exploration of such dynamics. The changing storyworld he creates highlights the reality that popular culture is not only a product of social change, but it is also taking an active role in defining what it can be like to be a human in a technologically mediated world.

Literature Review

Research on superheroes has grown significantly over the recent decades, alongside a growing appreciation that superheroes are a source of cultural negotiations of power, technology and identity. Iron Man has a unique position in this field of literature because he cannot use his skills as a result of something being natural but rather as a result of technological impartation.

His evolution into a hero of the Massive Universe (MCU) in the post-Cold War period offers a fertile ground of exploring the convergence of narrative and media, alongside the cultural meaning. This review will bring together the available literature on Iron Man in comics and film, theoretical views on technoculture and posthumanism in the attempt to position the research gap that this study will cover.

Iron Man in Comics

The first issue of *Tales of Suspense, Iron Man* (Lee, Lieber, Heck, and Kirby, 1963) has been influenced by the geopolitical and technological environment of the Cold War. Researchers observe that the character of Tony Stark as an industrialist and arms producer reflects modern concerns of nuclear propagation, capitalistic control, and the military supremacy. According to Costello (2009), the initial characters in the world of Marvel tended to represent the ambivalence of the modern technological world, glorifying its potential and highlighting the threats. The duality of Stark is best exemplified by his reliance on his armor that started as a life-saving prosthesis. The suit supports and weighs him down, which is a representation of the clash between technology advancement and its contradiction. Follow-up stories, like *Extremis*, by Ellis and Granov (2005/2006), re-position Iron Man in the world of biotechnology and cybernetics. In this case, Stark achieves this by incorporating technology into his body and no longer uses a form of armor, but he is literally a cyborg. Knox and Bray (2024) emphasize the fact that these reinventions show how Iron Man has constantly been shifting its cultural discourses about technology. In this respect, the comic book *Iron Man* offers a textual repository of changing technocultural anxieties, of Cold War militarism to the twenty-first-century argument of artificial intelligence and genetic engineering.

Iron Man in Film and the Marvel Cinematic Universe

Iron Man (Favreau, 2008) is the first film to launch the MCU and the character in question, as well as the genre of superhero, took a new step. Stark was redefined as a visionary, imperfect, and creative character whose technological inventions bear the attributes of entrepreneurial creativity and social accountability. Researchers note that this creative reinvention of Iron Man turned it into a point of anchoring and interconnected plotting, and transformed the expectations of the audience in regard to the superhero film (Flores, 2019). The film scholarship also observes that MCU has made Iron Man relevant to the digital world. According to Flores (2019), the story of Stark can be viewed as a redemptive story as his evolution as a merchant of death and a global protector indicates changes in the attitude to technology as something terrible and something to survive. The computer-generated imagery (CGI) is also invaluable as it, as Pedersen and Simcoe (2012) explain, makes Iron Man a visual representation that incorporates digital creativity with character arcs in it. The filmic Iron Man is, therefore, not just a character in a story, he is also a multilayered audiovisual spectacle that is indicative of the networked, media-rich nature of the modern storytelling.

Technoculture and Narrative

Technoculture theory provides a critical approach to the changing presence of Iron Man in the media. According to Cooper (2003), while it is true that technoculture relates to tools, it is rather the cultural imaginaries that are constituted by and constitute them. Iron Man is a good example of such interconnection: his character melodramatizes the pledges of technological advancement and at the same time enacts the moral paradox of the same promises. Feenberg (1999) also emphasizes that technology should be considered as socially entrenched, and influenced by power, ideology and cultural narrative. The fluctuation of the role of Stark as both arms dealer and as a visionary of the future, is the example of how technologies can gain a meaning when they are framed within a specific culture. The theory of transmedia storytelling is a theory to be used alongside technocultural analysis by Jenkins (2006) as it demonstrates the enrichment of stories when they are spread through media. The story of Iron Man is an example of this, as it is presented not only in comics and movies, but also in encyclopedias, merchandise, and fan-created contents. The media are adding layers of new dimensions to the character of Stark which create a networked story world that develops with change in culture and technology.

Posthumanism

Iron Man is also echoed in posthumanist critique that calls into question humanist ideas of subjectivity, embodiment and agency. The idea of the cyborg introduced by Haraway in her *Cyborg Manifesto* (1991) is that of a hybrid that destabilizes strict human/machine dichotomies. The armor experience of Stark fits into this vision perfectly because he is who he is only by virtue of machinic augmentation. Hayles (1999) also predicts the posthuman condition as a convergence of information, embodiment, and technology, which is also evident in the fusion of Stark with his suit, and with other artificial intelligences like J.A.R.V.I.S. and Ultron. To continue the discussion, Braidotti (2013) writes about posthuman subjectivity as nomadic and relational, and as being involved in technological and ecological assemblages. The same fluidity is reflected in Stark constantly reinventing himself between platforms, as his identity changes according to changing cultural landscapes. Nayar (2014) also says that the most easily available cultures of posthumanism is popular culture where superhero stories tend to sensationalise the hybrid modes of identity, agency, and survival.

Research Gap

Although Iron Man has received academic interest in comics and film studies, the majority of analyses have either chosen to focus on narrative content or film innovation. On the same note, the terms technoculture and posthumanism have been widely used in literature and the media yet they have seldom been used in a comprehensive analysis of the Iron Man as a cross-media phenomenon. Scholarly work has done little to establish Iron Man as a transmedia character whose development represents a technocultural change as well as a posthuman hybridity. This paper fills the aforementioned gap by discussing the storyworld of Iron Man as a place where technology, culture, and identity meet in the posthuman era.

Methodology

This paper utilizes a qualitative research design which falls in the context of the literature and cultural analysis, especially focusing on the technoculture theory and posthumanist critique. Since Iron Man is presented within a number of different media platforms, such as comics, films, and digital paratexts, the methodology is bound to choose a comparative and interdisciplinary approach. It combines the textual analysis, methods of media studies, and cultural contextualization to reflect the complexity of the development of Iron Man transmedia.

Primary Sources

The analysis corpus is made out of major texts that defining the important phases of the development of Iron Man. The comics include *Tales of Suspense*, issue 39 (Lee, Lieber, Heck, and Kirby, 1963) introducing Tony Stark as a background to Cold War fears, and the arc *Extremis* by Ellis and Granov (2005/2006) which redefines the character with the help of biotechnology and cybernetics. The films feature the *Iron Man* (2008) followed by the *Iron Man 3* (2013) of Black which foreshadows the vulnerabilities of Stark and the *Avengers: Endgame* (2019) of Russo brothers which completes his storyline with his vulnerabilities and his legacy. Additional references, such as the character encyclopedia by Knox and Bray (2024) of Marvel Studios and online paratexts like fan-created character encyclopedias serve as additional resources on how the character is encoded into the popular culture.

Analytical Approach

The following analysis will be based on the close reading and comparative media analysis. Close reading is a process that involves a careful focus on the narrative structures, the symbolism and character development of single texts. Comparative analysis shows the differences in the treatment of Iron Man in the media, focusing on the ways in which the affordances of comics and films create the difference in how he is treated. The emphasis on the visual design and narrative written text is made in the comics and on the acting, camera work, and computer generated imagery (CGI) in the movies. This two-sided method does not only point out divergences and continuities but also shows how media-specific representations mirror broader cultural/technological conditions.

Theoretical Frameworks

The research has two theoretical frameworks. To begin with, it is possible to mention such a theory as technoculture that puts Iron Man in a very different context and defines this character as the meaning that is a result of the interaction between the technological innovation and cultural meaning (Cooper, 2003; Feenberg, 1999). The armored suit, artificial intelligence, and digital spectacle are some of the elements that are interpreted as narrative techniques that dramatize the changing cultural negotiations with technology. Second, the idea of posthumanism (Haraway, 1991; Hayles, 1999; Braidotti, 2013) offers the conceptual framework that allows viewing Stark as a hybrid subject whose being disrupts the boundary between human and machine. Combined, these frames shed light on how Iron Man itself is a cultural product and a philosophical provocation of what lies ahead of humanity.

Contextualization

The analysis is further incorporated into the historical and cultural contexts which influenced the representations of Iron Man. The industrialist-hero type was informed during the Cold War period, which foreshadowed technological power as a sign of national identity. Conversely, the twenty-first-century versions place Stark in the framework of discourse of globalization, neoliberal capitalism, and artificial intelligence. The experience of reading Iron Man in the contexts highlights that Iron Man is a cultural lab where group hopes and fears about technology are put on stage and challenged collectively. Overall, textual, media, and cultural analysis in the context of technoculture and posthumanism is used to show how Iron Man cross-media storytelling constructs and challenges cultural conceptions of humanity and technology at different moments in history.

Analysis and Discussion

The Armored Body as Posthuman Text

The key symbolic element of Iron Man is his armor that is not just a protection device or even a weapon. It represents a physical and symbolic union of the human and the machine and places the character as a symbol of posthuman hybridity. In *Tales of Suspense #39* (Lee, Lieber, Heck, and Kirby, 1963), Tony Stark invents his own suit not to act as a hero but to save his life after a serious chest wound. Based on this emergence, the issue of survival of Stark cannot be separated with technological mediation. The armor, therefore, serves as a prosthesis, life support and armament, blurring the lines between weakness and power. The definition of the cyborg of Haraway (1991) is educative in this case. Haraway, in *A Cyborg Manifesto* puts forward the cyborg as an intermediary that disrupts the dichotomies, human/machine, and nature/culture, among others. This is the case with Iron Man, as the life of Stark cannot be separated out of his mechanical extensions, which are both empowering and limiting to him. On the same note, Hayles (1999) holds that posthuman subjectivity becomes actualized at the merger between the embodiment, information and technology. Such entanglement is represented by Stark relying on his armor, which erases the difference between the flesh and the machine. This trend is heightened by *Extremis* (2005/2006) by Ellis and Granov where Stark implants nanotechnology into his body, realistically making the armor part of his body. In this version the difference between man and machine is even more subdued, with Stark acting as a cyborg inside. This line of reasoning is enlarged in the film adaptation. In *Iron Man 3* (Black, 2013), Stark invents robotic suits and implants that are surgically implanted, which again further supports his technologically mediated subjectivity. The armored body is therefore a posthuman text - a place where fears of technological addiction and identity are acted out on a regular basis.

From Technological Anxiety to Digital Spectacle

The development of Iron Man can be seen as a wider shift in popular culture regarding the image of technology, the Cold War fear giving way to the present online spectacle. Stark, in his comic book roots, embodies the Cold war technopolitical ambivalence: an industrialist-genius who is bringing about tools of mass destruction through his empire.

According to Costello (2009), early Marvel stories had a way of dramatizing American reliance on technological excellence, both idolizing invention and accepting its devastating possibilities. This paradox is reflected in the armor of Stark, being both a sign of resourcefulness and a symbol of destructive power of modern science. The film versions re-tune these fears in the twenty-first century. In *Iron Man* (Favreau, 2008), Stark abandons the weapon-making business after he sees the results of his own creation. Technology is not merely seen as a threat but as an accountable, creative and globally responsible medium. This change is consistent with the way Cooper (2003) frames technoculture, where the technology change is constantly re-perceived in relation to the existing cultural discourses. The MCU also brings technology to a visual. The armor of Stark is computer-generated imagery, and it animates and incorporates a technological performance in the grammar of the storytelling in the cinema. Flores (2019) points out that the MCU restages *Iron Man* as the icon of digital futurism, shifting Cold War militarism towards the imagination of new technology in the twenty-first century. His fights with Ultron and Thanos reflect the cultural issues of automation, artificial intelligence, and world security. However, at the same time, these anxieties are aestheticized, with an awe-inspiring technological anxiety becoming represented by glittering displays. The film *Iron Man*, thus, represents the shift in the culture of anxiety to spectacle as the quintessential of the modern attitudes to technological advances.

Transmedia Storytelling and Identity Shifts

There is no single medium that can be considered the identity of *Iron Man* but it is told in what Jenkins (2006) calls transmedia storytelling- the spreading out of the elements of the story over platforms to construct a broad storyworld. Outside of the comics and movies, the *Iron Man* narrative is expanded in encyclopedias, merchandise, fan clubs, and online games. The media add different dimensions to each other, creating a compound personality that is continually redefined in terms of affordances provided by the platform and the demands of the audience. An example of the above is the *Demon in a Bottle* plot (Michelinie and Layton, 1979) which preempts Stark, his alcoholism problems and his weakness and moral ambiguity. By contrast, the film version, pegged by the work of Robert Downey Jr., is much more charismatic, humorous, and redemptive, toning down the darker aspects to fit blockbuster sensibilities. In the meantime, paratextual materials like Knox and Bray's *Marvel Studios Character Encyclopedia* (2024), have listed the technological developments made by Stark, introducing him as not only a character in the story but also as a history of culture of innovation. Both adaptations emphasize divergent sides of Stark as a persona thus creating a complex identity that is inconsistent with the single-dimensional analysis. This transmedia expansion revolves around technoculture. The digital networked space that allows fluid movement of stories, as Jenkins (2006) puts it, has made transmedia successful. The spread of *Iron Man* in media films, streaming platforms, online encyclopedias, and fan discussions is an example of such a process. The posthumanist theory also explains why he is so versatile: being a man between worlds, Stark can not be categorized rigidly, and every single medium can re-invent him in accordance with their cultural time.

His transmedia career therefore shows that identity in popular culture is not as simply restated but restructured in various ways by technocultural circumstances.

Iron Man as Cultural Laboratory

Iron man also serves as what Nayar (2014) describes as a cultural laboratory, a narrative space in which social fears of identity, technology and future are experimented with. The traditional role of this has been taken by superhero stories, which amplify situations of vulnerability and power and use them to ask questions of ethical and existential dilemma. Iron Man can perform this role particularly well considering that his survival and heroism relies on technological mediation. The MCU points out this duality by offering both the innovator and the warning voice of Stark. His invention of Ultron in *Avengers: Age of Ultron* (Whedon, 2015) symbolizes the unexpected nature of the consequences of unlimited ambition, and his sacrificial death in *Avengers: Endgame* (Russo and Russo, 2019) symbolizes the long-term conflict between the human limitation and technological superiority. It is these moments of the narrative that make one consider the price and the hypocrisy of the advances in technology. According to a posthumanist approach, death teaches Stark about the restriction of human agency during a machinic age. The fact that he is augmented does not mean that he is not bound by mortality, which is why Hayles (1999) is right in asserting that posthuman embodiment cannot eradicate vulnerability. However, the digital legacies that Stark embodies in the form of artificial intelligences, archives and in the ongoing story of the avengers imply novel possibilities of posthuman life after the biological. By doing so, the storyworld of Iron Man does not only entertain, but also plays out philosophical arguments regarding how humanity is bargaining with technology in the twenty-first century.

Conclusion

The line of Iron Man in the world of comics, movies, and computer screens shows that popular culture constantly bargains about questions of technology, identity, and human life. Since his beginnings, as an industrialist of the Cold War, in *Tales of Suspense* (Lee, Lieber, Heck, and Kirby, 1963), Tony Stark has always been a figure who reflects the tensions and aspirations of his cultural era. The story he tells highlights the fact that superhero tales, instead of mere escapists, serve as cultural laboratories with society probing the potential and the danger of technological modernity.

This paper has demonstrated that the armored body of Stark is a posthuman text that dramatizes the close complexity of human weakness and machinic enhancement. His development is the general cultural change: the Cold War paranoia of militarism and destruction to the digital spectacle of the twenty-first century, where technological innovation is both hailed and decried. This revolution indicates how Cooper (2003) believes technoculture is a process of dialogue whereby a technological change and a cultural meaning influence each other. More importantly, the image of Iron Man is not established in one medium and is developed as a result of transmedia narration as every new media, be it comic books, films, or paratextual texts, gives Iron Man new dimensions.

The idea of transmedia culture developed by Jenkins (2006) explains the reason why Stark can remain culturally relevant: his hybridity allows him to be constantly reinterpreted across different platforms. This is a kind of flexibility that is close to posthumanist thought. All three authors (Haraway 1991, Hayles 1999, and Braidotti 2013) focus on hybridity, distributed subjectivity, and the breach of strict divisions between human and machine - paradigms that help to understand how Stark has transformed into a posthuman entity.

Finally, the storyworld of Iron Man demonstrates that cultural stories can reflect as well as construct our perceptions of the question of what it could mean to become human in a time of ever-increasing technological transformation. His stories are dramatic representations of the posthuman condition; it is technology that gives and takes, giving transcendence and vulnerability. The sacrifice of Stark in *Avengers: Endgame* (Russo & Russo, 2019) can be seen as evidence of how humans have remained limited, but his legacy, stored in artificial intelligences, digital archives, and cultural memory, is an evidence of posthuman means of continuity beyond biological existence. Placing Iron Man at the nexus of technoculture and posthumanism, this paper will be relevant to the larger discussion of literature and cultural studies on the importance of popular storytelling in crafting the technology imagination. It claims that the so-called superheroes like Iron Man do not need to be dismissed as a form of escapist entertainment but must be understood as the cultural texts recording, dramatizing, and redefining the most urgent issues of technology, identity, and the future of humanity.

References

1. Braidotti, R. (2013). *The Posthuman*. John Wiley & Sons.
2. Cantwell, C., Cafu, A., D'armata, F., Caramagna, J., & Ross, A. (2021). *Iron Man. Vol. 1, Big iron*. Marvel Worldwide, Inc.
3. Cooper, S. (2003). *Technoculture and Critical Theory*. Routledge.
4. Ellis, W., Adi Granov, Gentile, R., Lazer, M., Schmidt, A., & Brevoort, T. (2013). *Extremis*. Panini.
5. Feenberg, A. (2012). *Questioning Technology*. Routledge.
6. Haraway, D. J. (1991). *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women*. Routledge.
7. J, F. (Director). (2008). *Iron man*.
8. Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York University Press.
9. Michelinie, D., Layton, B., Romita, J., & Infantino, C. (2008). *Iron Man: demon in a bottle*. Marvel Pub.
10. N Katherine Hayles. (1999). *How We Became Posthuman Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. University of Chicago Press.
11. Nayar, P. K. (2014). *Posthumanism*. Polity Press.
12. Russo J (Director). (2019). *Avengers endgame*.
13. Slott, D., Valerio Schiti, Dunbar, M., & Gang Hyuk Lim. (2019). *Tony Stark: Iron Man. Self-made man*. Marvel Worldwide, Inc., A Subsidiary Of Marvel Entertainment, Llc.
14. Whedon J (Director). (2015). *Avengers Age of Ultron*.